	1 2 3	Ross C. Goodman, Esq., Nevada State Bar No GOODMAN LAW GROUP 520 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 383-5088 (702) 385-5088 (Facsimile)	o. 7722	
Goodman Law Group A Professional Corporation 520 S. Fourth Street, 2 nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (ποο) ο 8ο-Ξο Ω 8	5	David M. Buckner, Florida State Bar. No. 60550 Brett E. von Borke, Esq., Florida State Bar No. 44802 GROSSMAN ROTH, P.A. 2525 Ponce de Leon, Suite 1150 Miami, Florida 33134 (305) 442-8666 (305) 285-1668 (Facsimile) Kenneth R. Hartmann Esq., Florida State Bar No. 664286 KOZYAK TROPIN & THROCKMORTON, PA 2525 Ponce de Leon, 9 th Floor Miami, Florida 33134 (305) 372-1800		
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11	(305) 372-3508 (Facsimile)		
	12	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF & THE CLASS		
	13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	14	GERALD HESTER, on behalf of himself		
	15	and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 2:09-CV-00117-RLH-RJJ	
	16	Plaintiff, v.		
	17	VISION AIRLINES, INC.,		
	18			
	19	Defendant.		
	20			
	21	THE CLASS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL IN AID OF EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT DISCOVERY AND INCORPORATED		
	22	MEMORANDUM OF LAW		
	23	Vision Airlines, Inc. ("Vision") is once again flaunting the Court's Local Rules and the		
	24	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and preventing the Class from obtaining the discovery		
	25	necessary to allow the Class to collect on the \$1,811,251 judgment ("Second Judgment") entered		
	26	by this Court on September 6, 2013. [D.E. 343, 344]. After the Court entered the Second		
	27	I		

Judgment, the Class served Vision with interrogatories and document requests in aid of

Case 2:09-cv-00117-RLH-NJK Document 355 Filed 01/02/14 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
n 100 r 31	13
A Professional Corporation 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (700) 980-5088	14
rofessional Corporat Fourth Street, 2nd Vegas, Nevada 89	15
fession ourth egas,]	16
A Pro	17 18
55	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

26

27

28

Goodman Law Group

execution of the Second Judgment ("In Aid of Execution Discovery"). Vision's responses to the In Aid of Execution Discovery were due on or before November 18, 2013. Despite its obligations, Vision never provided responses to the In Aid of Execution Discovery. The Class moved to compel Vision to produce all documents responsive to the In Aid of Execution Discovery on December 5, 2013, arguing that Vision had waived any objection by failing to respond. [D.E. 354]. Pursuant to the Federal Rules, Vision's Response to the Class' Motion to Compel in Aid of Execution of Judgment Discovery ("Motion to Compel") was due on or before December 23, 2013. Despite being sanctioned twice by this Court for its rampant discovery violations, Vision has failed to respond to the Class' Motion to Compel in an effort to once again prevent the Class from obtaining the documents necessary to collect on the Second Judgment. Vision's intransigence must end and it must be once again ordered to comply with the Federal Rules. Accordingly, the Class respectfully requests that the Court grant the Class' Motion to Compel, hold that Vision has waived any objections it may have had to the In Aid of Execution Discovery, and require Vision to produce all responsive documents by a date certain.

Respectfully submitted,

GROSSMAN ROTH, P.A. 2525 Ponce de Leon, Ste. 1150 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Telephone: (305) 442-8666

By: /s/ David M. Buckner
David M. Buckner
Florida Bar No. 60550
Brett E. von Borke
Florida Bar. No. 0044802

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been served via the Court's CM/ECF system on January 2, 2014.

By: <u>/s/ David M. Buckner</u>
David M. Buckner